



## Promotion of a Climate Friendly Interconnected Electricity System in West Africa (ProCEM)

Report 2018

Reduction of Technical and non-Technical Electricity Losses in the Distribution Companines in the ECOWAS Region

November 2020

## **Background of the Study**

The ProCEM programme aims to provide technical assistance to ECOWAS member states, and supporting those who promote grid-connected renewable energy projects at the level of electricity distribution. The challenge here is to develop good working practices and approaches to reduce technical/non-technical losses in electricity distribution companies. For the regional electricity market, the efforts are focussed on supporting both the design of technical regulatory instruments, plus efficient devices essential for seamless electricity exchanges across borders. In-depth and appropriate capacity building measures should support key market players in fulfilling their mission.

The WAPP-GIZ cooperation, regarding the reduction of distribution losses under the ProCEM framework between 2018 and 2020 is a continuation of some of the activities conducted by the BMZ funded project 'Promotion of a Climate Friendly Interconnected Electricity System in West Africa'. The implementation period was between 11/2013 and 12/2017, and included a segment on the reduction of distribution losses in the WAPP member utility networks. During this period the WAPP, in cooperation with GIZ, conducted an in-depth study on technical and commercial distribution losses

The objectives of this current project are to provide responsible operators in the ECOWAS region, with regional approaches to improve the energy efficiency of electrical equipment and the distribution network.

The indicators are defined as follows:

Result of Indicator 2 – Loss Reduction

Eight (8) distribution companies in the ECOWAS region have reduced their technical, non-technical and collection losses in their distribution network by 5% since 2017

• Result of Indicator 4 - Course Attendance

70% (including 5% women) of the 100 participants surveyed in new or improved courses on renewable energy, the regional electricity market, and energy efficiency supported by the project - confirmed that they had benefitted from attending the course, and noted a solid improvement in their work.

Result of Indicator B1 - Approaches Introduced

Ten utility providers in the ECOWAS region that actively participated in a learning and knowledge exchange platform, have introduced five approaches to reduce technical, non-technical or commercial losses in the distribution network.

This report gives the various statistics of technical and non-technical losses up to 2018 in the region, and the main strategies for reducing these losses. It will also provide indicative analyses on their evolution.

# CHAPTER 1 – Reduction of Losses (Result of Indicator 2)

The expected result is that eight electricity companies in the ECOWAS region have reduced their electricity losses (technical and commercial) in the distribution networks by a total of five percentage points compared to the 2017 baseline.

<u>Reference value</u>: 33% loss of electricity (according to date collected in 2017).

<u>Target value</u>: Eight electricity providers and a reduction of electricity loss by five percentage points.

#### Explanation:

At the end of the ProMERC reference period (a priori 3 years), the overall distribution loss rate should be reduced by 5 percentage points in electrical installations for at least all eight distribution companies and members of the West African Power Pool (WAPP). The GIZ will support both WAPP/ERERA partners' activities. Their results will contribute to the reduction of loss by 5 percentage points.

The basic value to be considered is the overall loss rate (calculated for a total of at least eight companies) registered on its distribution installations as of 31 December 2017.

Essentially, the various rates for at least eight companies will be collected, and an average rate will be calculated for all of them. This rate will serve as a reference value. The same will be done at the end of ProMERC and the rate of reduction will be determined accordingly.

As part of its objective, the ProMERC programme wanted to create a statistical database on technical and non-technical losses with the distribution companies in the ECOWAS region. The aim being to obtain a general overview and to support the exchange of best practices between these companies. This programme also supports distribution companies in how to implement loss reduction measures. The idea is to support these companies so that they are in a better position to integrate easily into the WAPP regional electricity market.

This chapter provides statistics on losses in distribution companies around the ECOWAS region from 2015-17, and offers indicative analyses on their evolution to achieve the results of 2018. The chapter is divided up as follows:

- A. Total Losses
- B. Technical Losses
- C. Non-Technical Losses
- D. Collection Losses

### A. Total Losses

Total losses represent the technical and non-technical losses of the distribution companies. It is therefore the ratio of the total energy purchased or produced by the distribution company, divided by the energy actually sold

when billing customers. Collection losses are not included in the total losses.

The following table summarises the statistical data on the total losses in the ECOWAS region from 2015 and 2018.

Table 1: Development of total losses in distribution companies around the ECOWAS countries in percentages

•	Country	Company	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Rank 2018	Evolution 2016-2018	-	sults 5-2018
7 Niger		NIGELEC	10,6%	12,6%	12,5%	12,2%	11,8%	1		•	0,4%
21 Nigeria,	Lagos	EKEDC	11,0%	9,8%	13,3%	13,0%	13,0%	2		•	-3,2%
4 Burkina		SONABEL	13,2%	13,5%	14,5%	13,6%	13,5%	3		•	-0,1%
3 Ivory Co	ast	CIE	16,0%	15,0%	15,3%	15,0%	13,0%	4		•	0,0%
5 Togo		CEET	16,8%	16,3%	14,3%	15,9%	16,4%	5		•	0,4%
10 Gambia		NAWEC	22,9%	25,1%	23,0%	16,0%	14,8%	6		•	9,1%
15 Nigeria,	Lagos North	IKEJA	17,0%	25,0%	24,0%	17,0%	12,0%	7		•	8,0%
1 Senegal		SENELEC	18,6%	20,1%	18,9%	17,7%	18,8%	8		•	2,5%
20 Nigeria,	Kano	KEDCO	19,7%	18,8%	18,0%	18,2%	17,9%	9		•	0,6%
18 Nigeria,	Abuja	AEDC	19,9%	21,5%	21,6%	18,8%	22,0%	10		•	2,7%
17 Nigeria,	badan	IBEDC	24,8%	19,2%	29,8%	19,9%	17,1%	11		•	-0,6%
2 Mali		EDM-SA	21,4%	20,3%	19,2%	21,6%	22,4%	12	>	•	-1,3%
6 Benin		SBEE	23,2%	23,9%	23,1%	22,4%	21,4%	13		•	1,5%
22 Nigeria,	Port Harcourt	PHED	16,4%	15,7%	24,4%	24,0%	24,0%	14		•	-8,4%
12 Ghana		ECG	22,3%	23,7%	24,3%	24,3%	24,7%	15		•	-0,6%
9 Guinea-l	Bissau	EAGB	27,2%	32,0%	23,2%	25,7%	20,4%	16		•	6,3%
16 Nigeria,	Enugu	EEDC	37,2%	35,2%	28,8%	28,0%	27,0%	17		•	7,2%
8 Guinea		EDG	32,1%	35,2%	36,0%	30,0%	16,0%	18		•	5,2%
11 Ghana		NEDCO	23,1%	27,4%	30,2%	30,0%	27,5%	19		•	-2,6%
19 Nigeria,	Kaduna	KAEDCO	30,2%	28,9%	29,9%	30,0%	29,0%	20		•	-1,1%
23 Nigeria,	Yola	YEDC	27,0%	27,7%	31,2%	34,4%	35,7%	21		•	-6,6%
13 Sierra Le	one	EDSA	52,9%	47,6%	26,8%	38,0%	39,0%	22		1	9,6%
24 Nigeria,	los	JEDPLC	72,7%	72,7%	72,7%	66,6%	60,8%	23		1	6,1%
14 Liberia		LEC	29,8%	47,3%	53,4%	68,0%	67,0%	24		•	-20,7%
25 Nigeria,	Benin	BEDC									
Average			25,2%	26,4%	26,2%	25,8%	24,4%			1	0,6%
Average								25,2% 26,4% 26,2% 25,8% 24,4% *Shaded cells are estimated from other years.			

Total losses in MWh are calculated as: energy injected into the distribution system minus energy billed by the distribution company (DC) customers.

The total percentage losses shown in Table 1 are calculated as: 1 - losses in MWh / MWh injected. Billed sales are most frequently used to reflect consumption.

It is clear that the invoiced sales do not include usage by energy thieves. Other factors that may also lead to underestimation of consumption are mentioned in the paragraph on nontechnical losses.

Note: the combined average losses of all power companies are the average of the companies' individual losses regardless of the amount of energy produced by those companies.

Table Source:

Activity reports from the companies and presentations given by the companies during the Dakar forum in November 2018 and by video conference in August 2020

Given that some electric power distribution companies have already made efforts to significantly reduce their loss rates to less than 15%, it will be difficult to obtain another significant improvement from these companies.

Statistics show that total losses vary greatly from one utility to another, ranging from 12.2% in Niger to 68% in Liberia in 2018. On average, total losses in ECOWAS countries have been almost constant in the period 2016 - 2018; about 25% on average in the years 2016 and 2018.

At the level of distribution companies, 11 companies out of the 23 companies surveyed, or almost half, managed to reduce total losses over the years 2016 to 2018.

The lowest overall loss rate of 12.2 percent was observed in NIGELEC (Niger) and the highest in LEC (Liberia). LEC (Nigeria) also recorded the most negative progression with a 20% increase in losses between 2016 and 2018. Since 2018, LEC has put in place many loss reduction measures that should show their effects in 2019 and 2020.

EDSA (Sierra Leone), NAWEC (The Gambia) and IKEJA (Nigeria) posted the best progress, reducing their overall losses by 8% and more. However, the losses of EDSA (Sierra Leone), although sharply declining, are still very high with 38% overall losses. For NAWEC (The Gambia) and IKEJA (Nigeria), these companies have managed to drop below the 17% overall loss mark.

The progress over the three years 2016, 2017 and 2018 of most of the distribution companies is irregular with some positive and some negative progress during these years. This shows that statistics on overall loss rates are influenced by several factors and it is therefore difficult to quantify the exact impact of loss reduction measures alone.

It is interesting to note that most of the companies that have reduced their overall losses are also following a strategy of generalising prepaid or even smart meters.

The installation of prepaid or even smart meters is an activity that must be prepared over several consecutive years and requires a high investment compared to other existing meters.

However, smart meters have the particularity of being able to strongly reduce overall losses because they improve the technical situation of the system and reduce the possibilities of fraud and billing errors.

The forum held in August 2020 and involving WAPP and all distribution companies in the ECOWAS region around the

theme of reclaring man technical losses had helped to show the consensus around the recognized benefits of installing these meters.

In total, 13 companies out of the 23 companies studied confirmed their strategy of partial or total installation of these meters at their subscribers' premises. These include SENELEC (Senegal), EDM (Mali), CIE (Côte d'Ivoire), SONA-BEL (Burkina Faso), CEET (Togo), NAWEC (Gambia), NEDCO and ECG (Ghana) as well as the Nigerian companies IKEJA, IBEDC, AEDC et JEDPLC.

On the whole, companies that implement a combination of technical and non-technical loss reduction measures generally also obtain the best overall loss reduction rates.

#### **Indicator reference value 2017**

For the year 2017, a combination of eight companies were selected to record their current loss rate. An average of 33% was calculated and is used as a benchmark for the loss reduction target indicator.

For 2018, this indicator increases to an average of 31.8%, thus confirming the positive evolution of the indicator. If the improvement in overall losses continues to evolve as it did between 2017 and 2018, the objective will certainly be achieved.

#### **Conclusion on total losses**

It can be seen that, on the whole, distribution companies are faced with fairly similar problems of overall losses and that the reduction of these losses in general remains slow and difficult. Among the rankings of the types of losses mentioned, some utilities are more often found at the top of the table such as SONABEL (Burkina Faso), EKEDC (Nigeria) and NIGELEC (Niger) and others are more often at the bottom of the table such as LEC (Liberia), JEDPLC (Nigeria) and EDSA (Sierra Leone).

Nevertheless, it is worth noting the constant and widespread improvement throughout the region and the reassuring progress in achieving the expected result for this objective indicator.

Overall losses represent several tens of millions of dollars or several tens of billions of FCFA in lost revenue for these companies.

## **B.** Technical Losses

Technical energy loss is the energy lost due to the physical phenomena inherent in its transmission between the injection points in the distribution network and the metering points at the subscriber level.

Table 2: Estimates on technical loss in some distribution companies\*

	Country	Company	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Rank	Evolution over 3 years		ults B years
8	Guinea	EDG	4,6%	4,6%	4,6%	4,6%	4,6%	1		<b>&gt;</b>	0,0%
7	Niger	NIGELEC	5,6%	5,6%	5,6%	5,6%	5,6%	2	•	<b>⇒</b>	0,0%
10	Gambia	NAWEC	10,0%	11,0%	10,0%	6,4%	5,9%	3		•	4,6%
3	Ivory Coast	CIE	7,0%	7,0%	7,0%	7,0%	7,0%	4	•	<b>⇒</b>	0,0%
2	Mali	EDM-SA	7,4%	7,4%	7,4%	7,4%	7,4%	5		=>	0,0%
9	Guinea-Bissau	EAGB	4,1%	4,1%	4,1%	7,5%	7,5%	6		•	-3,4%
1	Senegal	SENELEC	7,1%	7,1%	7,1%	8,3%	7,1%	7		<b>→</b>	-1,2%
18	Nigeria, Abuja	AEDC	9,1%	9,1%	9,1%	9,1%	9,1%	8		=>	0,0%
6	Benin	SBEE	6,5%	10,7%	10,4%	9,6%	9,6%	9		•	1,2%
4	Burkina	SONABEL	10,3%	10,3%	10,3%	10,3%	10,3%	10		<b>=</b>	0,0%
12	Ghana	ECG	10,6%	10,6%	10,6%	10,6%	10,6%	11		=>	0,0%
11	Ghana	NEDCO		10,8%	10,8%	10,8%	9,2%	12		<b>=</b>	0,0%
21	Nigeria, Lagos	EKEDC		10,8%	10,8%	10,8%	11,6%	13		=>	0,0%
14	Liberia	LEC	12,5%	12,5%	12,5%	12,0%	12,0%	14		•	0,5%
19	Nigeria, Kaduna	KAEDCO		12,5%	12,5%	12,5%	12,5%	15		<b>=</b>	0,0%
20	Nigeria, Kano	KEDCO		13,4%	13,4%	13,4%	13,0%	16		=>	0,0%
13	Sierra Leone	EDSA		14,0%	14,0%	14,0%	15,0%	17		<b>⇒</b>	0,0%
24	Nigeria, Jos	JEDPLC	25,5%	16,1%	28,4%	31,5%	28,0%	18		•	-15,4%
5	Togo	CEET									
15	Nigeria, Lagos North	IKEJA									
16	Nigeria, Enugu	EEDC									
17	Nigeria, Ibadan	IBEDC									
22	Nigeria, Port Harcourt	PHED									
23	Nigeria, Yola	YEDC									
25	Nigeria, Benin	BEDC									
	Average		9,3%	9,9%	10,5%	10,6%	10,3%			•	-0,8%

energy losses is based on the measurements of the technical power losses, i.e. the instantaneous losses caused by the power passing through the conductor cables of the MV and LV lines and in the MV/LV transformers. With the help of load distribution calculation software, the power losses are converted into technical energy losses.

The estimation of the technical

The technical losses are normally calculated for a section of the distribution network and for a certain period of time. The section is often the grid in the capital or a large city, and the period is the annual peak. The values are therefore only a rough estimate of the average value of service losses in the distribution system over the year.

Activity reports from the companies and presentations given by the companies during the Dakar forum in November 2018 and by video confer-

ence in August 2020

Table Source:

Table 2 shows the situation of technical losses in distribution companies. It should be noted that on average over the years 2016 to 2018, the overall situation has not changed (+0.1%).

Unfortunately, the data collected is incomplete. Where data are missing, data from previous or subsequent years are repeated (if available) to fill in the missing years. These data sets have shaded cells in the table.

<sup>\*</sup>Shaded cells are estimated from other years.

<sup>\*</sup> Missing data is due to the unavailability of disaggregated data within companies and the lack of tools in place for realistic estimation.

It should be noted that companies sometimes have difficulty calculating these losses due to a lack of information or adequate software to calculate said losses.

This general situation is difficult to understand because all companies are implementing measures to reduce technical losses. This suggests that these measures do not have the expected impact, or that the development of other parts of the network is affecting the efforts already undertaken.

The three-year trend rates are low on average and vary only between 0 and 4.6%.

The company JOS (Nigeria) is an exception as it has a technical loss rate more than twice as high as all the other companies and its average development over 3 years is up sharply by more than 15%. It seems likely that this situation is due to errors in statistical statements, particularly for the year 2016.

These relatively low growth rates are generally understandable, given that the technical changes to be made to the network are often costly and often have very local impacts.

According to the information gathered from the companies regarding their technical loss reduction activities, all companies are restructuring their MV networks by reorganising the location and number of MV/LV transformers and optimising MV and LV lines.

Some companies clearly indicated that they have specifically installed capacitor banks, replaced conductors, installed new source or distribution substations, managed the charter and optimised future network planning with the objective of reducing technical losses.

Only a limited number of companies mentioned implementing measures to install high-efficiency transformers, phase rebalancing on LV feeders, optimisation of separation peaks and controlling demand. These activities are certainly opportunities to be taken into consideration in the future.

Prepayment and smart meters play a special role in distribution losses. Although their installation is not directly a measure to reduce technical losses, there is a very clear consensus among all companies that the installation of these meters makes a significant contribution to this.

Several companies mentioned their installation as either a localised or generalised measure for the reduction of both technical and non-technical losses. Meter work such as the installation of pre-paid meters, or replacing defective meters are purely non-technical measures in general.

There are different strategies for placing these meters between the companies. Some follow a strategy of general installation of smart meters, others choose to combine these meters with pre-payment meters. It was not possible, within the framework of the data received, to go into greater detail on impact of smart meters compared to other meters. It should also be noted that during the videoconference forum held in August 2020, the participants had expressed a clear interest in smart meters and that the main difficulty was the source to fund them.

Generally speaking, it can be said that companies that implement the most measures to reduce technical losses are also those that obtain the best results. Only EAGB (Guinea-Bissau), despite the implementation of numerous measures and also anticipating the generalisation of smart meters, has seen its evolution remain negative over the last three years.

#### Conclusion on technical losses

On the whole, with an average increase of 1% over the last three years, we can say that the situation of technical losses has not really evoked, at least not really for the better. The distribution companies are faced with quite similar technical loss problems and the reduction of these losses in general remains slow and difficult.

## C. Non-Technical Losses

Non-technical losses are calculated as: total losses minus technical losses. They are therefore only shown in Table 3 for distribution companies that have carried forward technical losses.

Table 3: Estimates on non-technical losses in certain distribution companies\*

	Country	Company	2015	2016	2017	2018	Rank	Evolution over 3 years	Res over 3	ults years
3	Ivory Coast	CIE	9.0%	8.0%	8.3%	8.0%	1		Ψ	0.0%
1	Senegal	SENELEC	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	8.2%	2		1	3.6%
10	Gambia	NAWEC	14.1%	14.1%	13.0%	9.6%	3		1	4.5%
6	Benin	SBEE	13.1%	13.1%	12.7%	12.8%	4		<b>^</b>	0.3%
12	Ghana	ECG	11.7%	13.2%	13.7%	13.8%	5		Ψ.	-0.6%
19	Nigeria, Kaduna	KAEDCO		17.5%	17.5%	17.5%	6	•	<b>→</b>	0.0%
9	Guinea-Bissau	EAGB		18.5%	18.5%	18.5%	7		<b>⇒</b>	0.0%
11	Ghana	NEDCO		19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	8	•	<b>→</b>	0.0%
13	Sierra Leone	EDSA		24.0%	24.0%	24.0%	9	•	<b>→</b>	0.0%
14	Liberia	LEC	17.3%	34.8%	40.9%	56.0%	10		Ψ.	-21.2%
2	Mali	EDM-SA								
4	Burkina	SONABEL								
5	Togo	CEET								
7	Niger	NIGELEC								
8	Guinea	EDG								
15	Nigeria, Lagos North	IKEJA								
16	Nigeria, Enugu	EEDC								
17	Nigeria, Ibadan	IBEDC								
18	Nigeria, Abuja	AEDC								
20	Nigeria, Kano	KEDCO								
21	Nigeria, Lagos	EKEDC								
22	Nigeria, Port Harcourt	PHED								
23	Nigeria, Yola	YEDC								
24	Nigeria, Jos	JEDPLC								
25	Nigeria, Benin	BEDC								
	Average		12.8%	17.4%	18.0%	18.8%			Ψ.	-1.3%

Fraud is normally the main reason for non-technical losses. Either in the form of meter tampering by subscribers, or energy theft, or by deliberately submitting false meter readings (sometimes with complicit involvement of distribution company staff).

Other factors that produce nontechnical losses is the underestimation of consumption by flatfee subscribers (unmetered subscribers), subscribers who are already connected but not yet in the sales' statistics, defective meters, and consumption within the distribution company that is not billed. These factors are present in all distribution companies.

#### Table Source:

Activity reports from the companies and presentations given by the companies during the Dakar forum in November 2018 and by video conference in August 2020

\* Missing data is due to the unavailability of disaggregated data within companies and the lack of tools in place for realistic estimation.

ND = No data available \*Shaded cells are estimated from other years.

Table 3 shows the situation of non-technical losses and it should be noted that on average, over the last three years 2016 to 2018, the situation deteriorated by about 1%.

Only 10 companies out of 25 were able to provide the calculation of their non-technical losses and therefore the analysis made in this report may not be perfectly representative. Where data is missing, data from prior or subsequent years is repeated (if available) to fill in the

missing years. These data sets have shaded cells in the table.

Although statistical data are missing, much information on non-technical loss reduction measures implemented by companies has been collected.

In general, non-technical losses vary between 8% and 56% although LEC (Liberia) shows a rate twice as high as all other companies.

SENELEC (Senegal) and NAWEC (The Gambia) both managed to reduce their non-technical losses by 3.6% and 4.5% respectively. The non-technical loss reduction measures implemented by these two companies therefore seem to have significant impacts.

Both companies have increased their customer controls over the last three years. SENELEC (Senegal) has also undertaken a complete customer census in its management software and NAWEC (Gambia) has undertaken a public awareness campaign on the subject of fraud. There are therefore some differences in strategy between the two companies.

The issue of smart metering is also noteworthy because most companies that have improved their non-technical loss rate have either undertaken to generalise their installations, or to combine the installation of pre-paid meters with that of smart meters for certain consumers. It appears that a metering strategy has a significant impact on reducing non-technical losses.

It can be observed that ECOWAS distribution companies are implementing many non-technical loss reduction measures. The main measures implemented are a customer census, customer connection to the switchyards, monitoring customers, reinforced meter protection measures and more stringent penalty measures.

A comparison of technical and non-technical losses shows that the latter account for the largest share of total losses. The amounts lost due to non-technical losses are enormous. Reducing non-technical losses requires above all the commitment of the management of the distribution company.

The investment costs of non-technical measures are relatively low compared to the reduction of technical losses. Data received from a few distribution companies show that such investments pay for themselves in the short term. This calls for making the reduction of non-technical losses a priority.

#### **Conclusion on non-technical losses**

Overall, with an average increase of just over 1% over the last three years, it can be said that the situation of non-technical losses has not really changed.

Unfortunately, too many companies still do not have statistical data on non-technical losses, even though they constitute the largest part of the losses.

## **D.** Collection Losses

Collection losses are calculated as: 1 - amount invoiced / amount collected.

The amount collected or cashed includes arrears and sometimes also payments from energy fraudsters, including a penalty. Collection losses may therefore be exceptionally negative.

Table 4: Development of collection losses in distribution companies in ECOWAS countries

	Country	Company	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Rank	Evolution over 3 years		sults 3 years
12	Ghana	ECG	11,7%	17,8%	-5,0%	-5,0%	-5,0%	1		•	22,8%
14	Liberia	LEC	-3,0%	9,2%	22,6%	-1,7%	12,8%	2		•	10,9%
2	Mali	EDM-SA	1,3%	1,0%	0,6%	0,6%	0,6%	3		•	0,4%
7	Niger	NIGELEC	8,8%	3,3%	2,9%	2,8%	12,3%	4		•	0,6%
3	Ivory Coast	CIE	7,3%	10,8%	5,5%	3,9%	3,0%	4		•	6,9%
1	Senegal	SENELEC	7,0%	7,0%	5,0%	5,0%	5,0%	5		•	2,0%
4	Burkina	SONABEL	2,5%	3,9%	-1,3%	8,8%	8,4%	6		•	-4,9%
5	Togo	CEET	13,2%	14,3%	9,1%	9,1%	9,1%	7		•	5,2%
15	Nigeria, Lagos North	IKEJA	31,0%	32,0%	19,0%	19,0%	19,0%	8		•	13,0%
21	Nigeria, Lagos	EKEDC	27,4%	26,8%	22,4%	19,3%	17,0%	9	/	•	7,4%
6	Benin	SBEE	18,8%	6,0%	20,0%	20,0%	20,0%	10		•	-14,0%
18	Nigeria, Abuja	AEDC	37,8%	38,8%	34,0%	24,9%	19,5%	11		•	13,9%
11	Ghana	NEDCO	28,7%	38,8%	31,1%	31,1%	31,1%	12		•	7,7%
10	Gambia	NAWEC	35,8%	35,8%	35,8%	35,8%	35,8%	13		<b>&gt;</b>	0,0%
8	Guinea	EDG	34,0%	21,0%	16,6%	38,0%	32,0%	14		•	-17,0%
17	Nigeria, Ibadan	IBEDC	33,0%	38,0%	35,0%	38,4%	37,3%	15		•	-0,4%
20	Nigeria, Kano	KEDCO	34,4%	32,3%	51,7%	40,8%	33,3%	16		•	-8,5%
22	Nigeria, Port Harcourt	PHED	39,5%	48,0%	41,2%	41,2%	41,2%	17		•	6,8%
16	Nigeria, Enugu	EEDC	37,3%	42,8%	42,4%	42,4%	42,4%	18		•	0,4%
13	Sierra Leone	EDSA	11,0%	44,0%	47,0%	47,0%	47,0%	19		•	-3,0%
23	Nigeria, Yola	YEDC		50,9%	50,9%	50,9%	48,4%	20		<b>⇒</b>	0,0%
24	Nigeria, Jos	JEDPLC		51,3%	51,3%	51,3%	45,5%	21	•	<b>⇒</b>	0,0%
19	Nigeria, Kaduna	KAEDCO	49,0%	46,6%	41,8%	56,0%	52,0%	22		•	-9,4%
9	Guinea-Bissau	EAGB									
25	Nigeria, Benin	BEDC									
	Average		22,2%	27,0%	25,2%	25,2%	24,7%			•	1,8%

\*Shaded cells are estimated from other years.

Collection losses represent the unpaid debts of the distribution companies' customers.

There are several reasons for non-payment of bills such as the financial inability of the customer, as well as non-payment by public institutions, hospitals, or other public services that cannot be disconnected from the network in the event of non-payment.

It is necessary to know the context in which the distribution company operates in order to understand these losses. Real political will and the strict application of enforceable measures against non-payment are necessary.

Table Source:

Activity reports from the companies and presentations given by the companies during the Dakar forum in November 2018 and by video conference in August 2020

Table 4 shows the collection losses of distribution companies in the ECOWAS region. These collection losses have decreased on average for all companies by 1.5% although the trends are very different be-

tween companies. ECG (Ghana) shows the best progress with a drop of 22.8% and EDG (Guinea) is at the end of the list with an increase of 17%. The distribu-

tion companies are therefore faced with very different situations.

Such disparate data makes it difficult to draw general conclusions from the data.

Some negative statistics are due to the fact that during the year under review, amounts due from the previous year were finally paid in the current year and thus, revenues exceed sales for the current year.

The companies with the lowest collection loss rates are also the companies with the best progress and remain below the 10% collection loss rate. These are ECG (Ghana), LEC (Liberia), EDM (Mali), NI-GELEC (Niger), SENELEC (Senegal), SONABEL (Burkina Faso) and CEET (Togo).

Nigerian companies all have high collection rates of more than 19% and three of them have rates of more than 50% in 2018.

It is interesting to note that LEC (Liberia) with an extremely high rate of non-technical and overall losses shows a negative rate of collection losses here. LEC (Liberia) is also the only company that listed measures to create a culture that does not tolerate fraud in its management. It is also undertaking an awareness campaign and a training programme for

its staff Although these measures are mainly nontechnical, they can certainly also have an impact on collection losses.

The data collected unfortunately does not allow testing the hypothesis that the higher the percentage of subscribers who have a prepayment meter, the lower the percentage of collection losses.

What is already evident is that the use of prepayment meters is not the deciding factor in all countries. In NIGELEC, where collection losses are low (2.9% in 2017), very few subscribers have prepayment meters.

Company data distinguishing between collection losses of public and private subscribers indicate that public subscribers are, more often than not, the worst payers.

#### **Conclusion on collection losses**

On average, the collection rate of distribution companies in the ECOWAS region has decreased slightly. There are however large differences between companies. Nigerian companies have fairly high collection loss rates because of regulatory measures that greatly protect the customer.

# CHAPTER 2 – Benefits of Training (Goal Indicator 4)

The expected result is that 70% (of which 5% are women) of the 100 participants in the new or improved training courses developed or improved with the support of the RE, EE or regional electricity market programme. When questioned, they confirmed that they had benefitted from the training, mentioning solid improvements in their work.

Benchmark value: 0 (as yet no participants in newly developed or improved courses).

<u>Target value</u>: 70% of the 100 participants surveyed (including 5% women), one improvement each.

#### Explanation:

70 of 100 participants - including 5 women - will be interviewed after a training course, which has been improved or newly developed by GIZ, on renewable energy. It will also include the regional electricity market and energy efficiency, in order to confirm that they have benefitted from the training and cite a solid improvement in their workplace.

The trainings are scheduled to take place in 2019 and 2020 and the corresponding evaluations will be carried out.

# CHAPTER 3 – Approaches to Loss Reduction (Result of Indicator B1)

The expected result is that within the framework of a platform for dialogue and exchange, 10 electricity companies in the ECOWAS region have introduced 5 approaches for the reduction of technical and commercial losses in distribution networks.

Reference value: 0 approaches, as the platform for dialogue and exchange still does not yet exist

<u>Target value</u>: 5 approaches introduced

#### Explanation:

As part of a platform for dialogue and learning, 10 electricity companies in the ECOWAS region have introduced 5 approaches for reducing technical and commercial losses in distribution networks.

Each company has undertaken the implementation of solutions and approaches to reduce their loss rates. However, in view of these uncoordinated and non-harmonised actions within the ECOWAS region, it is necessary to define 5 pertinent approaches that have a real impact on the reduction of losses and that can be applied by the distribution companies.

These five approaches will have to be adopted by the companies which will introduce them in their strategic plan for loss reduction under the terms of ProMERC.

#### **Approaches**

Phase 1 of this project listed the main existing technical and non-technical loss reduction approaches. These approaches are summarised in Tables 6 and 7.

The distribution companies provided information on the technical and non-technical approaches implemented. These approaches have been listed again in Table 5 below to provide an overview of the loss reduction measures undertaken.

#### Result:

Distribution companies have introduced numerous measures to reduce their losses. The full list of possible measures is described in Tables 6 and 7 below.

On the basis of the information collected from the distribution companies, it has already been possible to identify the main measures that have been introduced, and those that seem to bring the best results. These measures are the following

- 1. Restructuring of the MV network
- 2. Connecting customers to the switchyard equipped with metering systems (geo-referencing)
- 3. Monitoring customers
- 4. Strengthening the legal context by enforcing penalties and sanctions
- 5. Smart meters or communicating systems

We also note that at least 10 distribution companies have implemented these five loss reduction measures:

- 1. SENELEC (Senegal)
- 2. EDMSA (Mali)
- 3. SONABEL (Burkina Faso)
- 4. NIGELEC (Nigeria)
- 5. EDG (Guinea)
- 6. NEDCO (Ghana)
- 7. EDSA (Sierra Leone)
- 8. EEDC (Nigeria, Enugu)
- 9. KAEDCO (Nigeria, Kaduna)
- 10. JEDPLC (Nigeria, Jos)

Table 5 on the next page summarises the loss reduction measures implemented by the distribution companies, as mentioned in the documents that could be collected from these companies. The target value of 10 distribution companies introducing at least 5 approaches has been reached. It can be seen that the distribution companies have introduced many measures and the progress seems to allow the objective to be reached in the coming years.

Table 5: Loss reduction approaches undertaken in distribution companies

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	$\neg$
Country:	Senegal	Mali	Ivory Coast	Burkina	Togo	Bénin	Niger	Guinea	Guinea-Bissau	Gambia	Ghana	Ghana	Sierra Leone	Liberia	Nigeria, Lagos North	Nigeria, Enugu	Nigeria, Ibadan	Nigeria, Abuja	Nigeria, Kaduna	Nigeria, Kano	Nigeria, Lagos	Nigeria, Port Harcourt	Nigeria, Yola	Nigeria, Jos	Nigeria, Benin	
Distribution Company:	SENELEC	EDM-SA	CIE	SONABEL		SBEE	NIGELEC	EDG	EAGB	NAWEC	NEDCO		EDSA				IBEDC	AEDC	KAEDCO	KEDCO	<b>EKEDC/EKO</b>	PHED	YEDC	C		Total
TECHNICAL APPROACHES:																										
Installation of condenser banks	•							•		•															П	3
Replacing conductors		•		•			•	•	•	•																6
Restructuring of the MV network	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	22
Installation of new stations																										
(source or distribution)							•																			13
Use of high efficiency transformers							•																			1
Phase rebalancing on LV outgoing feeders								•										•							$\Box$	2
Optimisation of separation points																										0
Electricity demand management															•											1
Load management	•				•			•							•		•		•				•			7
Optimal network planning	•	•		•		•	•	•						•		•						•		Ш	$\Box$	9
																										0
NON-TECHNICAL APPROACHES:																										
Knowledge/client census	•	•	•	•			•	•			•		•	•	•	•	•		•			•	•	•		16
Connecting customers to departure stations																										
equipped with metering systems											•							•								13
Customer inspections	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•			•	•	•	•	21
Making fraud difficult					•	•									•	•						•		•	•	7
Replacing defective meters				•							•	•	•	•				•				•		•		8
Creating a zero tolerance culture towards		•																								
fraud																								$\Box$	$\sqcup$	7
Commitment of the company's																										
management in the fight against losses																										2
Awareness campaign	•	•		•	•		•	•		•	•		•	•		•			•			•	•	•	_	16
Penalties and sanctions	•	•	•	•			•	•			•		•	•			•	•				•	•		•	17
Training programmes		•		•			•							•	•		•		•				•	•	4	9
Monitoring							•					•		•		•	_		•					$\dashv$	4	5
Creating a 'Loss Reduction in Distribution								•							•											
Network' association			$\vdash$						$\vdash$						$\Box$			_						$\dashv$	$\dashv$	2
Other non-technical measures									$\vdash$		•														$\dashv$	2
Smart meters									Н																_	13
Total number of approaches	12	12	6	12	8	4	12	15	3	7	10	4	8	11	9	10	8	8	9	2	0	8	8	11	5	202

Main implemented approaches

The following tables provide details and explanations for each of the measures listed in Table 5, broken down into technical and non-technical loss reduction approaches as follows:

- Approaches to reduce technical loss (ten types of actions)
- Approaches to reduce non-technical loss (12 types of actions)

Table 6: Approaches to reduce technical losses (10 types of actions)

Nr.	Title	Description of the Objective and Approach
1	Installation of conden-	Objective: To reduce the reactive component of underwriting losses.
	ser banks	Approach: Introduction of condensers
2	Replacing conductors	Objective: Optimise the choice of drivers or their replacement
		Approach: 1) Internal standardisation, 2) Identification of overloaded conductors, 3) Eco-
		nomic analysis of replacements
3	Restructuring of the MV	Objective: Relieve existing overloaded departures by changing the network structure
	network	Approach: Reconfigure the departures by transferring loads to existing departures with
		low loads or to new, as yet to be made, departures
4	Installation of new sta-	Objective: To install very small MV/LV distribution stations as close as possible to BT sub-
	tions	scribers.
	(source or distribution)	Approach: Extend the MV network further to increase the number of MV/LV transform-
		ers and thus reduce the LV lengths
5	Use of high efficiency	Objective: To identify whether a range of high-efficiency transformers allows a more
	transformers	cost-effective selection of transformers to be installed.
		Approach: The optimal transformer range will be identified based on economic analyses
6	Phase rebalancing on LV	Objective: To reduce the imbalance of currents between phases caused by single-phase
	outgoing feeders	customers
		Approach: 1) Identifying large, single-phase consumers, 2) Installation of switches or re-
		connection of consumers to another phase
7	Optimisation of separa-	Objective: Optimise the configuration of the feeders that reach 'NO' (Normally Open)
	tion points	points in order to choose the configuration with the lowest loss.
		Approach: 1) Software acquisition and data entry, 2) Searching for the busiest sections
		and 3) Cross-checking and compatibility verification
8	Electricity demand	Objective: Reduction of electricity demand and technical losses
	management	Approach: The types of actions on demand are: 1. energy efficiency standards, 2. energy
		efficiency labels, 3. rebates for high-efficiency equipment, 4. group purchasing, 5. volun-
		tary agreements with manufacturers, 6. raising awareness.
9	Load management	Objective: Reduction of electricity demand at peak time
		Approach: Adapt electricity consumption to the needs of the electrical system, either to
		decrease (stopping a manufacturing process, stopping an air conditioner) or increase
		(starting manufacturing processes or other appliances) consumption
10	Optimal network plan-	Objective: Optimisation of planning
	ning	Approach: Carrying out studies on 1. Planning approaches, 2. Geographical information
		system, 3. Electricity demand forecasting, 4. Technical study of distribution networks, 5.
		6. Structure of LV distribution networks, 7. Power flow studies and 8. Technical-economic
		comparison

Non-technical losses result in high monetary losses amounting to several million Euros per year, even if said losses are relatively small. Fraud in its various forms (en-

ergy theft such as bypassing or, meter tampering, etc.), outdated customer databases, missing meters, faulty meters, statistical errors, or errors in the methodology for

calculating losses, are all sources of non-technical losses. Given this diversity, it is not surprising that several steps are always necessary to reduce non-technical losses.

The suggested approaches mentioned in the next section are all related to the reduction of non-technical losses. Approaches 1-5 are those where a cost-benefit analysis can often be made, and thus a return on the approach can be confirmed.

Approaches 6-12 are accompanying measures which do not represent individually profitable projects. They are measures that do have the effect of reducing non-technical losses, but their impact on the distribution company's income is not entirely quantifiable. These are measures such as training, for example.

Table 7: Approaches to reduce non-technical losses (12 types of actions)

Nr.	Title	Description of the Objective and Approach
1	Knowledge/client census	Objective: Detection of illegal connections, unbilled meters and anomalies (cut earth
		connections, broken insulators, bent armatures, etc.).
		Approach: Update and clean the customer database to reflect the real situation
2	Compacting quaternage to	through visits, surveys and inspections
	Connecting customers to departure stations	Objective: Compare the energy injected by substations with the energy billed to customers served by substations.
	equipped with metering	Approach: Numbering of MT/BT positions and expansion of the customer database
	systems	with information on their attachment to the corresponding positions
	3,000	and the state of t
3	Customer inspections	Objective: Increase in checks and training of inspectors
		Approach: Establish a team of independent auditors well trained in energy theft de-
		tection methods and ensure the invoicing of penalties and adjustments to energy
4	2011	thieves
4	Making fraud difficult	Objective: Securing metering installations (making connections inaccessible)  Approach: Installation of 1) security systems (numbered seals, locks, boxes, fences,
		etc.), 2) split meters (prepayment) and 3) communicating meters at large consumers
5	Replacing defective meters	Objective: To replace faulty (untampered) meters
	nepraemy derective meters	Approach: Identification and verification of older meters. Identify other faulty meters
		and replace them or, if meters are not available in stock, switch the subscriber's billing
		to flat rate mode
6	Creating a zero tolerance	Objective: To communicate at a national level that fraud is unacceptable.
	culture towards fraud (ac-	Approach: Messages from the government to the population informing them that
	companying measure)	fraud is no longer acceptable, and that severe sanctions will be imposed energy thieves, etc.
7	Commitment of the com-	Objective: The highest level of management is committed to the cause
	pany's management in the	Approach: The establishment of statistics to calculate losses, make regional heads
	fight against losses (accom-	accountable and encourage them to do so
	panying measure)	
8	Awareness campaign (ac-	Objective: Communication of the distribution company to the population/customers
	companying measure)	Approach: Regularly launch campaigns in the form of spots on television and radio.
		Provide information to influential groups such as religious leaders, community leaders and consumer associations
9	Penalties and sanctions	Objective: Strict imposition of penalties and sanctions
	(accompanying measure)	Approach: establishing 'Electricity Tribunals' or other institutions to enable the legal
	, , , , , ,	penalties and sanctions in a more rapid and appropriate manner
10	Training programmes (ac-	Objective: To increase the distribution company's staff skills on how to reduce non-
	companying measure)	technical losses.
		Approach: Training on 1) calculating of different types of losses (global, non-technical,

Nr.	Title	Description of the Objective and Approach
		statistical, etc.), 2) monitoring subscribers and 3) network planning (GIS mapping,
		demand estimation, design and simulations, economic and financial analysis)
11	Monitoring (accompanying	Objective: Assessment of the distribution company's performance regarding distribu-
	measure)	tion losses
		Approach: Analysis of losses based on (i) consumption statistics and (ii) energy inject-
		ed into the distribution network
12	Creating a 'Loss Reduction	Objective: To replicate loss reduction measures that have worked for other distribu-
	in Distribution Network'	tion companies
	association	Approach: Establish meetings with other distribution companies in the country or
		region to exchange experiences in loss reduction measures

## **General conclusion**

It can be seen that, on the whole, the distribution companies are faced with problems of fairly high losses in terms of technical, non-technical and collection losses. However, the statistics show very different situations and trends in these losses depending on the country. Often, the companies with the highest overall loss rates are also those with the highest specific (i.e. technical, non-technical and collection) loss rates. Although this may seem logical, it is clear that there is not one type of loss that is more important than the others.

There are some exceptions such as NAWEC (The Gambia), which has relatively low overall losses but high collection losses or LEC (Liberia), which has high overall losses but low collection losses.

Although companies have made efforts to produce the static data that could be collected for this report, this is sometimes insufficient. Moreover, some data vary too widely to properly explain these variations. This is certainly due to the fact that the data are strongly impacted by the different technical and non-technical loss reduction measures taken at the same time by the distribution companies and by their success. This can sometimes vary

greatly from one Distribution Company to another. Nevertheless, it has been possible to present an overview of losses in the distribution networks of the ECOWAS region.

The loss reduction measures implemented by distribution companies are numerous and very varied. They concern technical, non-technical and collection losses at the same time and there is therefore a real effort being made at their level.

The economic, social and legal context in which distribution companies in the ECOWAS region operate plays an important role in the impact they can achieve through these measures. Changing behaviour is a long-term mission.

The ProMERC programme activities have supported these companies by providing a platform for exchange between distribution companies in the hope that the efforts already undertaken can be improved and have an even greater impact.